

DECLASSIFIED
Authority E.O. 13526

STAFF STUDY - DETERMINATIONS UNDER NPA

DECLASSIFIED

Authority AGD 883078

5. CONCLUSIONS:

a. The policy and procedures in effect in the Recovered Personnel Records Branch do not provide for using the official determinations of status under the Missing Persons Act in reporting Philippine Army, USAFFE service data to various Government agencies. This has resulted in the reporting of casualty status data under the Missing Persons Act in many cases which is inconsistent with the official determinations.

b. The certifications made to the Veterans Administration on VA Forms 3101 and AGRD Forms 32/ ^{and Certifications to CSC (WCC)} and signed by an officer of AGRD, imply action under the delegation of authority from the Secretary of the Army. These have been considered as the official determinations of status and service to the present date. The fact that these ^{VA} reports carried the remark "This redetermination supersedes all prior determinations" ^{leaves little basis to doubt the intent} removes ~~all doubt as to intent~~, ^{paragraph d(3) above and Tab A, Annex 1).}

c. Those certifications made to the Veterans Administration ⁸ from MPRC and USARCEN during the period from February 1953 to 19 December 1957, ^{as of CSC Com} ~~and~~ signed ^{by other} by civilian personnel under authority ^{granted to them by} of the then Commanding Officer, ~~these~~ ^{who had the} ~~certifications~~ ^{of} may be of doubtful validity ^{to be considered} as official redeterminations under the Missing Persons Act. ^{paragraph d(4) above.} *

d. Reevaluation of evidence submitted in support of prior MPA determinations is warranted because of inconsistencies and attempted fraud as brought out in the investigation of the Baguio Ring, the Bataan Military District, and the 14th Infantry. ^{Tab A, Annex 1 and paragraph d(10) above).}

e. Effective 19 December 1957, at the instructions of the Commanding Officer, USARCEN, certifications to the Veterans Administration are made following the prior MPA determination unless the available evidence indicates a determination previously made to be in error. When a change is warranted by the evidence and

certified from 50
to the action
in the MPRC

~~The intent is clear.~~

*

It clearly remains that a means must be approved to eliminate the necessity of reexamining all service and status reports made during that period because of the numbers involved. Based on the production figures taken from the O&P reports it is estimated that ^{about} ~~approximately~~ 54,144 VA reports and at least ~~71~~ 25,800 of the 111,011 total FCSC (WCC) reports would be affected.

circumstances of record in a file, a redetermination of status under the Act is made and approved by an officer with delegated authority. Since this action conflicts with the Standing Operating Procedures of the Recovered Personnel Records Branch for processing Philippine Army and Guerrilla cases, it establishes a need for a policy decision concerning the handling of certain categories of cases described in (1) and (2) below which are currently placed in suspense:

(1) Where the evidence of military identity as required by the Standing Operating Procedures is lacking and the prior determination under the Missing Persons Act shows positive military identity and status.

(2) Where the evidence is lacking to support a particular casualty status under the Missing Persons Act i.e., Missing for periods of illness, or periods of alleged guerrilla service, etc.

f. A uniform procedure is necessary to reflect the status of Philippine Army, USAFFE (including Recognized Guerrilla) personnel under the Missing Persons Act.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS.

a. That certifications of service and status, ^{to VA} made by AGRD prior to the transfer of the functions of that agency to St. Louis be considered as official determinations or redeterminations of status under the Missing Persons Act, since they were signed by an officer acting under the delegation of authority from The Secretary of the Army.

~~b. That action be taken to obtain a retroactive delegation of authority for certain ^{officers and} civilian personnel (supervisors and reviewers, Recovered Personnel Records Branch) or MPRC and ARGEN ^{reports to U.S. VA and FCSC AS} to sign/official determinations and redeterminations under the Missing Persons Act on Philippine Army, USAFFE (including Recognized Guerrilla) personnel during the period from February 1953 to 19 December 1957.~~

6.

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078

6. That retroactive delegation of authority be obtained, for certain officers and civilian personnel of the Recovered Personnel Records Branch, to take action under the Missing Persons Act for the Secretary of the Army, for the period 8 February 1953 through 19 December 1957, in matters relating to personnel of the Philippine Army in the service of the Armed Forces of the United States. Reports made during that period to the Veterans Administration and the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission and signed by such personnel will no longer be of doubtful validity and will have full the/effect of official determinations and/or redeterminations under the Missing Persons Act in accordance with the policies in force during that period.

c. That the instructions of the Commanding Officer, USARCEN that the reporting of information from the records conform to the status determinations made under the Missing Persons Act be continued.

d. That the criteria contained in the Standing Operating Procedures of Recovered Personnel Records Branch for establishing military identity and service in the Philippine Army, USAFFE (including Recognized Guerrillas) be continued in force.

e. That the procedures for the evaluation or reevaluation of affidavit evidence submitted as verification or proof of Philippine Army, USAFFE service or status be continued and where actual errors are found in a prior MPA determination, an official redetermination of status be made.

f. That the requirements be relaxed to permit the acceptance of the affidavits of co-soldiers even though their own military identity has not been established, if their statements are compatible with their own claims ~~statement~~ and other known facts in a case.

g. Since the evidence to support a positive determination previously made under the Missing Persons Act will be lacking in many cases, the policy should be expanded to suspend such cases and to afford the claimants or next of kin an opportunity to furnish new proof of service and casualty status required to support the previous determination made. This can be done by use of a form patterned after the MPRC Forms 1-648, 1-648a, 1-649, and 1-649a (Tab ___ 1,2,3,4, Annex 1). These forms were declared obsolete 25 January 1954. The Forms 1-648 and 648a were used in deceased cases and the Forms 1-649 and 1-649a were used in cases where the alleged soldier was still living. The use of such forms might secure the required evidence which is lacking to support the prior MPA determination.

h. That when sufficient evidence is not available in a file to support a prior positive MPA determination and an effort to obtain the necessary information from the individual concerned or his next of kin is unsuccessful, the case should be redetermined on its individual merits.

i. That no change be considered for determining Recognized Guerrilla service, since that was a separate function of the Guerrilla Affairs Division and there is no existing authority to amend the approved rosters by adding or deleting names. That is the only source from which it can be authoritatively determined that an individual was granted recognized guerrilla service.

j. That a redetermination be made in cases of civilian guerrillas who were previously determined to have status under the Missing Persons Act and recognition was subsequently revoked by reconstruction of the Guerrilla Affairs Division rosters.

k. That a redetermination be made in cases of civilian guerrillas previously determined to have status under the Missing Persons Act and their names were subsequently removed from revision rosters by Guerrilla Affairs Division and are now listed only on initial troop rosters. This action is necessary to correct the prior determination which is currently in error.

1. That corrective action be taken in any case where it is found that information has been furnished to a Government agency which is not in agreement with the latest official determination under the Missing Persons Act.

- ANNEXES: 1. Background Information for Philippine Army VA Program
2. Background Information for Philippine Scout VA Program
3. Background Information for WCC Program
4. Draft of State Department Reply to Philippine Economic Mission Claim "The Case of the Philippine Army Veteran"
5. AGRD - WCC SOP
6. MPRC - WCC SOP

ANTON IMHOF JR.
Management Analyst
RPR Branch

DECLASSIFIED

Authority AWD 883078

	<u>CONCURRENCE</u>	<u>NON-CONCURRENCE</u>	<u>SEE</u> <u>ATCHD COMMENT</u>
Chief, RPR Branch	()	()	()
Operations Officer, RPR Branch	()	()	()
DCOM, USARCEN	()	()	()

APPROVED:

C. J. BARRY JR
Colonel, AGC
Commanding

~~From the...~~
 The intent is clear it remains that
 as means he approved to eliminate
 the necessity of rehashing all these
 individual report which can
 be estimated as approximately
30,000 VA reports and
 at least 30,000 ^{out} the total of
over 100,000^x reports to FCSC (WCC)

30,000	50,000	VA
30,000	130,000	WCC

Handwritten calculation:

$$\begin{array}{r} 4.3 \\ \times 30 \\ \hline 129 \\ \hline 130.5 \end{array}$$

Handwritten calculation:

$$\begin{array}{r} 27581 \\ 4.3 \overline{) 111110} \\ \underline{36} \\ 250 \\ \underline{215} \\ 350 \\ \underline{284} \\ 66 \end{array}$$

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078



11
2 0 3.00
4 6 1.00
7 3 1.00
1 3 0 0.00
2 3 1 0.00
2 3 4 9.00
1 0 5 5.00
1 4 7 1.00
1 1 2 5 6.00 #

V/A

7 7 0 7.00
4 6 0 6.00
4 2 4 9.00
1 3 9 8 0.00
1 2 4 9 8.00
1 2 4 9 9 9.00
1 1 4 2 6 1.00
1 1 1 0 1 4.00 #

V/A

7 4 8 0.00
5 7 1 0.00
6 1 4 0.00
6 7 0 7.00
7 0 1 9.00
5 5 1 0.00
3 3 5 0.00
1 1 6 6.00
1 4 1 4.00
5 1 5 0.00
5 7 3 0.00
0 0 1 3.00 #

V/A

9 4 1 0.00
1 0 1 3.00
1 7 9 0.00
0 0 0 0.00
4 4 6 0.00
4 3 6 0.00
1 6 1 0.00
1 4 7 0.00
1 6 4 0.00
3 5 9 0.00
2 9 9 0.00
1 0 2 0.00
5 5 9 1.00 #

V/A

1 0 9 9.00
2 4 8 4.00
1 6 9 9.00
1 0 0 4.00
1 8 9 7.00
1 6 8 9.00
1 1 1 1.00
1 9 7 9.00
6 7 1 0.00
5 2 9 0.00
6 7 9 0.00
1 6 4 6 4.00 #

V/A

2 7 7.00
6 8 7.00
1 8 1 9.00
1 8 6 6.00
2 0 6 8.00
2 4 8 6 1.00
9 4 4 7.00

V/A

DECLASSIFIED
AUTHORITY AND DATE

1 2 5 6.00
1 8 3 0.00
1 5 3 7.00
2 4 4 9.00
1 3 3 9.00
1 5 4 9.00
1 3 0 3.00
1 1 3 3.00
1 7 3 9.00
0 2 7 0.00
1 4 0 7 8.00
1 4 2 4 0.00 #

V/A

7 3 2.00
1 0 3 1.00
2 4 8 6.00
2 4 8 6.00 #

V/A

PRUD
VA+WCC

OH JAN53

DECLASSIFIED
Authority: AWD 88307P

	VA 3101	WCC
JAN 54	1859	0
FEB	2592	0
MAR	2464	0
APR	1699	0
MAY	1004	0
JUN	1257	277
JUL	1659	697
AUG	1111	1215
SEP	939	1868
OCT	671	2586
NOV	520	2261
DEC	<u>679</u>	<u>543</u>
TOTALS	16,484	9447

JAN 55	1236	732
FEB	820	1031
MAR	1537	695
APR	2145	<u>2458</u>
MAY	1339	0

	TOTAL VA	TOTAL WCC
JUN	1545	
JUL	1303 448	
AUG	1153	111,011.
SEP	735	9447
OCT	827	2458
NOV	1078	<u>122916</u>
DEC	<u>522</u>	111.011
TOTALS	14,240	2458

53 -	11258	
54 -	16484	
55 -	14240	
52 -	6613	
57 -	5551	
TOTAL	<u>54,144</u>	

wcc OH ³ APR 53 94,931

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078

	VA 7101	wcc
APR 53	268	0
MAY	461	7707
JUN	816	4606
JUL	731	4249
AUG	1300	13,980
SEP	2310	24,951
OCT	2349	27298
NOV	1555	15959
DEC	1471	12261
TOTALS	<u>11,256</u>	<u>111,011</u>

VA	
JAN 56	748
FEB	571
MAR	811
APR	654
MAY	707
JUN	619
JUL	570
AUG	323
SEP	168
OCT	414
NOV	515
DEC	573
TOTALS	<u>6,613</u>

JAN 57	941
FEB	1013
MAR	790
APR	660
MAY	448
JUN	436
JUL	181
AUG	147
SEP	184
OCT	350
NOV	299
DEC	102
TOTALS	<u>5,551</u>

20 $\overline{) 115}$
100
150

5
5.7
18
72.6
87
102.6

5. CONCLUSIONS:

1 a. The policy and procedures ^{in effect since February 1953} ~~in effect from 1953 to 1957~~ of the Personnel Records Branch ~~at this time~~ do not provide for using the official determinations of status under the Missing Persons Act in reporting service data to various Government agencies. This has resulted in the reporting of casualty status under the Missing Persons Act in many cases which is inconsistent with official determinations.

6 b. A uniform procedure is necessary to reflect the status of Philippine Army personnel under the Missing Persons Act, ~~of the following nature:~~

2 (1) The certifications made to the Veterans Administration on VA Forms 3101 and ~~48~~ ^{AGRD} Forms 32 and signed by an officer of AGRD simply action under the delegation of authority from the Secretary of the Army. These have been considered as the official determination of status and service to the present date. The fact that those reports carried the remark "This determination supersedes all prior determinations" removes all doubt as to intent. OK

3 (2) Those certifications made to the Veterans Administration ^{during the period from} from US ARCN ~~from~~ ^{February} 1953 ^{to} 19 December 1957 and ~~to~~ ^{to} signed by civilian personnel under authority of the then Commanding Officers are of doubtful validity to be considered as official determinations under the Missing Persons Act. 19 Dec 57

→ ~~for~~ ^{for} all future cases
c. ~~The~~ original determinations under the Missing Persons Act

5.

Effective 19 Dec 57

a. Let. to VA are being made following Prior MPA Det as a redet is made ~~when~~ ~~and~~ approved by an officer w/ delegated auth when a change is warranted by the evidence and circumstances ~~in the~~ of record in the file.

b. Where the evidence of identity as required by SOP — — — is found lacking and the prior det under MPA show positive identity and status the case is placed in suspense.

c. Where the evidence ^{is lacking} to support a particular Casualty Status under MPA (i. e. MISSING for periods of illness, missing for periods of alleged 'gila service' etc) the case is placed in suspense.

1. ~~The~~ The policy and procedures in effect in RPRB at this time do not provide for adding the Det of Status under MPA in reporting service data -

File

policy

✓ ① a uniform procedure ^{is} necessary to reflect the ^{MPR} status of ~~Army Pers~~ ^{Army Pers} under MPA.
 VA made on VA 3101 - 2017 on 132

② ~~the cert~~ ^{to} ~~is~~ ^{is} signed by an officer of AGRD ^{graphically} ~~graphically~~ ^{only} the delegation of auth from Sec of A. and there have been to the present date considered as the official det of Status and service. ^{the fact that these reports carried they are} ~~det under MPA not withstanding~~ "this redet etc, removes all doubt"

③ those cert ^{to} ^{VA} made from US ARS cen and signed by civilian personnel under authority of the then c.o. are of doubtful validity to be considered as official red under MPA.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS:

a. That certifications of service and status made by ARRD prior to the transfer of the functions of that agency to H. Louis be considered as official determinations or redeterminations of status under the Missing Persons Act since they were signed by an officer acting under the delegation of authority from the Secretary of the Army (paragraph 4 (3)).

~~b. That certifications of service and status made by ~~MPRC~~ since February 1953 by MPRC and ARGEN~~

b. That action be taken to obtain a retroactive ^{copy (Reservists)} RPR B. delegation of authority for certain civilian personnel of MPRC and ARGEN to sign official determinations and redeterminations under the MPA on Philippine Army personnel during the period from February 1953 to 19 December 1957.

c. That ^{in all future cases} the official determinations of status under the MPA be followed [in reporting information from the records unless the records contain information indicating the determination to be in error, or that ^{the available information is insufficient to} it does not meet the current criteria for establishing military identity and status.

d. That an official redetermination signed by an officer be made under the MPA when it is for a prior ~~same~~ determination and to be in error or when the available evidence is insufficient

6. RECOMMENDATIONS: ~~6~~

a. That certifications of service and status made by AGRD prior to the transfer of the functions of that agency to H. Kouis be considered as official determinations or redeterminations of status under the Missing Persons Act since they were signed by an officer acting under the delegation of authority from the Secretary of the Army (paragraph (3)).

~~b. That certifications of service and status made by ~~AGRD~~ since February 1953 by MPRC and ARCEM~~

b. That action be taken to obtain a retroactive ^{delegation of authority} ^(RPRB) for certain civilian personnel of MPRC and ARCEM to sign official determinations and redeterminations under the MPA on Philippine Army personnel during the period from February 1953 to 19 December 1957.

c. That the official determinations of status under the MPA be followed ^{in all future cases} in reporting information from the records unless the records contain information indicating the determination to be in error, or that ^{the available information is insufficient to} it does not meet the current criteria for establishing military identity and status.

d. That an official redetermination signed by an officer be made under the MPA when it is for a prior ~~status~~ determination is found to be in error or when ^{the} available evidence is insufficient.

to support the prior determination under the current criteria.

Let. ^{Corrective} Action should be taken ^{in any case} ~~to correct~~ any record where it is found that information has been furnished to a Government Agency ~~which~~ ^{which} is not in agreement with the official MPA determination.
This should be done either by ~~action~~ ^{action} ~~to~~ ^{to} effecting redetermination of status when the prior determination does not meet the current criteria; or by furnishing a corrected report to the agency concerned.

ANDU 14110F JR,
Management Analyst
Per Ours Files B1.

Conclusion

✓ The evaluation of void is warranted -
because of uncertainties and
in many instances, attempts at
fraud has brought out in the
B/A

1. Re-evaluation of (offered)
to be made to ~~re~~ verify the proof
of PA as a service

✓ 2. Criteria as in SOP be continued

3. An expansion of the policy be made
to suspect cases to afford the
Cl ~~that~~ or ask to furnish new
proof of service - & casualty
status following the lines of
648 etc -

✓ 4. relay req of off own serv ben's
established

will give TAG evd to back
the det under the act

Where ^{actual} errors are found - Change
MPA det.

Where proof is unobtainable
by det or NOK - Case will
die det on its individual
merits.

DECLASSIFIED
Authority: 50 USC 88307B

No change was considered
for det Reg Isola service
since that was a separate
function of GAD. ~~with~~ there
is no authority to add or delete
names to the approved ROSTER
the only source from which it can be authorized
along with ~~an~~ ^{an} ~~individual~~ ^{individual} was granted R.G.S.
any ~~with~~ ^{with} Isola who was
previously det under MPA and
whose recognition was subsequently
revoked by reconstruction of
the ^{GAD} rosters, requires a red
to reflect ~~the fact present~~
the final action in his case.

Where removed from revision
rosters and now only on
initial recognition etc

c. That the C.O. (^{orders} instructions) that reports from the records conform to the status determined under MPA be continued,

d. That the criteria for establishment of review in the PA Usage do contain'd in _____, be continued in force. That ~~the~~ The evidence submitted be evaluated in ~~the~~ accordance with the same procedures now in force. That in lieu of ^{part of 1, 2, 3, 4} changing the MPA det, ~~the~~ ^{to} ~~the~~ goal the set or Nok ^{of the family to prison} of the evidence is required to support the previous det made.

This will ~~effect~~ ~~the~~ secure the evidence to support the prior det which is not presently available in acceptable form.

Remove the requirement that a USFI of service must be ~~submitted~~ require that this ~~statement~~ ^{statement} be reasonably complete with the person

Claim as what the historical records
show -

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078

AGAR-R 314

MEMORANDUM THRU: Chief, Reviewed Personnel Records Branch
Operations Office, Reviewed Personnel Records Branch
Deputy Commander, USARCEM

FOR: CO, USARCEM

SUBJECT: _____

DECLASSIFIED

Authority NND 883078

Handwritten signature or initials in cursive script.

DECLASSIFIED
Authority NND 883078

~~AGARD 314~~
SUBJECT: Determinations Under the Missing Persons Act

1. PROBLEM.--To determine the proper action to be taken in reporting information from the records of Philippine Army personnel to various Government agencies where status under the Missing Persons Act is involved, and the action to be taken in connection with appeals.

2. REFERENCES.--

- a. Missing Persons Act, WD Circular 305, 18 Jul 44 (Incl 7, Tab R, Annex 1)
- b. WD Plan for Casualty Administration Upon Reoccupation of the Philippines (Incl 2, Tab R, Annex 1)
- c. Staff Memorandum 14, 27 Dec 45 (Incl 3, Tab R, Annex 1)
- d. War Claims Act of 1948, PL 80-896 with Amendments (Tab Z, Annex 3)
- e. Letter 31 Oct 47 from Adj Gen, Hqs, PHILRYCOM to Manager, USVA, MRO Re Activities of AGO, PHILRYCOM in Connection with Certifications of Philippine Commonwealth Army Service to USVA (Tab W, Annex 1)
- f. Letter 17 Jun 48 from Adj Gen, Hqs, PHILRYCOM to TAG, Wash D. C. Re Philippine Commonwealth Army Service (Tab V, Annex 1)
- g. Notes on VA-Army Conference of 6 and 7 Oct 48 (Tab U, Annex 1)
- h. Letter 3 Nov 48 Re Agreements Reached at Joint VA-Army Conference of 28 Oct 48 (Tab T, Annex 1)
- i. Memo 13 Nov 48 from AG, PHILCOM to AGRD Re Responsibilities of AGRD (Tab S, Annex 1)
- j. Letter 7 Dec 48 from Hqs, PHILCOM to TAG, Wash D. C. with 3 Incls and 11 Incl Re Certifications of Service to USVA, MRO (Tab R, Annex 1)

- k. Letter 13 Dec 48 from AGRD, PHILCOM
Re Agreements Reached at VA-Army
Conference of 6 Oct 48 (Tab Q, Annex 1)
- l. VA Technical Bulletin 8-106,
6 Jan 49 (Tab P, Annex 1)
- m. Letter 14 Mar 49 from Manager, USVA,
MRO to CO, AGRD Re Title II, PL 346
VA Cases (Tab C, Annex 2)
- n. Notes on VA-Army Conference
of 10 Jun 49 (Tab E, Annex 2)
- o. Letter 17 Jun 49 from USVA, MRO to
Director, S & C Div, AGRD and Reply
21 Jun 49 Re Agreements Reached at
VA-Army Conference of 16 Jun 49 (Tab D, Annex 2)
- p. Letter 2 Aug 49 with Incl from Dir,
S & C Div, AGRD to Manager, USVA, MRO
Re Review of Certification Procedures (Tab O, Annex 1)
- q. Letter 20 Oct 49 from Adj Off, USVA,
MRO to Dir, S & C Div, AGRD and Reply
25 Oct 49 Re Adjudication Criteria
Involving Service Certifications (Tab N, Annex 1)
- r. TWX 26 Oct 49 from AGRD to CG,
PHILRYCOM Re Authentication of
Certifications to USVA (Tab M, Annex 1)
- s. AGRD Memo 1 Nov 49, Subj: Certifica-
tion of Service Data to USVA, MRO (Tab L, Annex 1)
- t. Letter 13 Mar 50 from CO, AGRD to
Manager, USVA, MRO Re VA-Army
Conference of 22 Jan 50 (Tab K, Annex 1)
- u. Revocation of Determination of
Status of Individual Under MPA (Tab J, Annex 1)
- re-letter*
v. Letter (Undated) from CO, AGRD to
Dir, Philippine Operations, WCC Re
Proposed Application Form for
Benefits Under PL 80-896 (Tab I, Annex 3)
- w. Letter 23 May 50 from CO, AGRD to
Dir, Philippine Operations, WCC Re
Estimate of Claims Anticipated
Under PL 80-896 (Tab Y, Annex 3)

- w x. Memo Re VA-Army Conference of 21 Jun 50 (Tab I, Annex 1)
- x y. Notes on VA -Army Conference of 13 Nov 50 (Tab H, Annex 1)
- y z. Policy on Reopening Status Determinations Made Under Liberal Interpretation of MPA for USVA Purposes (Tab A, Annex 2)
- z aa. Instructions on Preparation of Supplemental Army Info Form to USVA, MRO on Philippine Scouts (Tab B, Annex 2)
- aa bb. DF 20 Jul 51 with Incl from Chief, Veterans Br, AGRD to CO, AGRD Re Answers to Questions of Philippine National Red Cross (Tab F, Annex 1)
- bb ec. Special Review Project, Philippine Army and Guerrilla Cases (Tab G, Annex 1)
- cc ed. VA Technical Bulletin 8-198, 3 Dec 51 (Tab E, Annex 1)
- ed ee. Policy Changes in Handling Cases Regarding Filipino Veterans (Tab D, Annex 1)
- ee ff. Notes on WCC-Army Conference of 27 Jun 50 (Tab X, Annex 3)
- ff gg. Letter 1 Aug 50 from CO, AGRD to Commissioner, WCC, Wash Re Availability of Various Card Files in AGRD for Use in WCC Adjudications (Tab W, Annex 3)
- gg hh. Letter 1 Aug 50 from CO, AGRD to Dept of Army VA Liaison Officer, Wash Re Non-Use of MPA Determinations by WCC (Tab V, Annex 3)
- hh ii. Notes on WCC-Army Conference of 11 Jan 51 (Tab U, Annex 3)
- ii jj. WCC Letter 16 Jan 51 Re Processing and Adjudication of WCC Claims (Tab T, Annex 3)
- jj kk. Letter 17 Jan 51 from Chairman, WCC to The Secretary of the Army Re WCC Plans for Processing and Adjudicating Claims Under PL 80-896 (Tab S, Annex 3)

- kk* **hh.** Letter 7 Feb 51 from CO, AGRD to Dir, Philippine Operations, WCC Re Status of 500 Test Applications for Ex-Prisoner of War Benefits (Tab R, Annex 3)
- ll* **mm.** Letter 27 Feb 51 from CO, AGRD to Dir, Philippine Operations, WCC Re Statistics on 500 Test Applications for Ex-Prisoner of War Benefits (Tab Q, Annex 3)
- mm* **nn.** WCC Memo No. 76, 8 Jun 51 Re Data in Adjudication of Philippine Claims (Tab P, Annex 3)
- nn* **oo.** DF 12 Jun 51 from Dir, S & C Div, AGRD to Exec Off, AGRD Re Policies and Procedures for Adjudicating Filipino Claims (Tab O, Annex 3)
- oo* **pp.** Memo 24 Oct 51 from Liaison Officer, WCC to Mr. McCool Re Facts Relative to Furnishing Pertinent Data by AGRD to WCC (Tab N, Annex 3)
- pp* **qq.** Letter 25 Apr 52 from The Secretary of The Army to Chairman, WCC Re Dept of Army Furnishing Service Data to WCC on Nonreimbursable Basis (Tab M, Annex 3)
- qq* **rr.** AGRD Adjudication Policy, WCC, 25 Nov 52 (Annex 5)
- rr* **ss.** MPRC Adjudication Policy, WCC, 31 Aug 53 (Annex 6)
- ss* **tt.** Letter (Undated) from CO, AGRD to Dir, Philippine Operations, WCC Re Proposed Application Form for Benefits Under PL 80-896 (Tab L, Annex 3)
- tt* **uu.** DF 3 Sep 53 from CO, MPRC to Chief, Compt Div, TAGO with Incl Re Certification of Military Service of Philippine Army Personnel to USVA (Tab C, Annex 1)
- uu* **vv.** Letter 12 Oct 53 from CO, MPRC to WCC Re Termination Dates of Prisoner of War Camps in Philippines (Tab K, Annex 3)
- vv* **ww.** DF 4 Feb 54 from Chief, Compt Div, TAGO to CO, MPRC with Comment 2 Re Processing of WCC Appeal Cases (Tab J, Annex 3)

- waw* xx. Policy on reporting death information to USVA (Tab X, Annex 1)
- xx yy. Examples of claimant affidavit forms (MARC Form 1-648, 1-648a, 1-649, 1-649a) (Tab Y, Annex 1)
- yy* zz. DF 4 Oct 54 from CO, MPRC to Chief, Admin Svc Div, TAGO Re Policy to Review POW Certifications on Philippine Personnel (Tab I, Annex 3)
- yy* aaa. Letter 19 Oct 55 from TAG, Wash D. C. and DF 27 Sep 55 from Chief, Pers Svc Br, TAGO to CO, MPRC with Comment 2 Re Conflicting Information Between MPA Determinations and WCC Certifications (Tab H, Annex 3)
- aaa* bbb. DF 21 Sep 55 from CO, MPRC to Chief, Admin Svc Div, TAGO with 3 Comments Re Requests from FCSC for Reexamination of Claims for POW Benefits (Tab G, Annex 3)
- bbb* ccc. DF 15 Dec 55 from CO, MPRC to Chief, Compt Div, TAGO with Comment 2 Re Notifications to FCSC When No Provision for Payment of Claims Exists (Tab F, Annex 3)
- ccc* ddd. VA Letter (Undated) Re Need for Documentary Evidence (Tab B, Annex 1)
- ddd* eee. DF 5 Jun 56 from Chief, Compt Div, TAGO to CO, ARGEN and Comment 2 Re Recertification of Claims Considered Under Sec 6 of War Claims Act of 1948 (Tab E, Annex 3)
- eee* fff. TWX 16 Jul 56 from Chief, Compt Div, TAGO to CO, ARGEN Requesting Number of Redeterminations Made to USVA Since 31 Mar 55 Affecting POW Status (Tab C, Annex 3)
- fff* ggg. TELECON 17 Jul 56 Re Notifications to FCSC of Redeterminations Made to USVA Affecting Prior WCC Certifications Since 31 Mar 55 (Tab D, Annex 3)
- ggg* hhh. TWX 17 Jul 56 from CO, ARGEN to Chief, Compt Div, TAGO Re Number of Redeterminations Made to USVA Since 31 Mar 55 Affecting Prior POW Certifications Made to FCSC (Tab B, Annex 3)
- hhh* iii. DF 23 Jul 56 from Chief, Compt Div, TAGO to CO, ARGEN with 2 Incl Re Notification to FCSC Where Redeterminations Are Made Affecting POW Status (Tab A, Annex 3)

3. GENERAL. --

The general problem for study concerns the proper action to be taken in reporting information to various Government agencies from the Philippine Army records of Philippine personnel involving ^{casualty} status under the Missing Persons Act. The two Government agencies of primary concern are the Veterans Administration and the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission (formerly the War Claims Commission). All background data included in this report has been obtained from the policy and history files in the Recovered Personnel Records Branch. In order to objectively consider all facts and the basis for various actions, ~~the phase of the Philippine program is discussed separately, included in the Philippine program the report is~~ is discussed in three separate phases. They are (a) the initial phase of recovery of personnel and ^{ascertainment of} determinations of casualty status under the Missing Persons Act, (b) the Veterans Administration program, and (c) the War Claims Commission program.

iii ~~iii~~ ~~hnh~~. Draft of Proposed State Dept Reply to the Philippine Economic Mission Claim-"Case of the Philippine Army Veteran"

(Annex 4)

jjj ~~hnh~~ ~~hnh~~. Letter 7 Feb 58 from CO, USARCEN to Manager, USVA, MRC Re Change in Procedure of Certifying Status Under MPA on Philippine Army Veterans

(Tab A, Annex 1)

3. ←

4. FACTS BEARING ON THE PROBLEM.--

a. Initial Phase.

(1) From its inception, the administration of affairs of Army of the United States Personnel, including Philippine Scouts and War Department civilian employees, were separated from those of the Philippine Army personnel, Reference: Section VII, War Department Plan for Casualty Administration Upon Reoccupation of the Philippines (Incl 2, Tab R, Annex 1).

(2) The Recovered Personnel Division was organized in January 1945 from a Section of G-1, AFPAC. Its mission at that time was processing of recovered Army of the United States, Philippine Scouts and War Department Civilian employee personnel; the renovation and segregation of these records; and the administration of the Missing Persons Act as it pertained to these three types of personnel (Paragraph 2, Tab V, Annex 1 and Paragraphs 3 and 4, Tab A, Annex 4). On 5 November 1945, the Recovered Personnel Division was transferred from AFPAC to AFWESPAC (subsequently to PHILRYCOM and then PHILCOM). Prior to 5 November 1945, all records which were secured as a result of the liberation of prisoner of war camps, or by other means, which pertained to the Philippine Army were transferred to the Philippine Army Headquarters in the same manner that records pertaining to Naval personnel were transferred to the United States Navy, and records

of foreign nationals were transferred to liaison officers of their governments, etc. (Paragraph 3, Tab V, ^{Sub W} Annex 1).

(3) Prior to November 1945, only one branch of the Recovered Personnel Division was in any way concerned with the Philippine Army. The Finance Branch was assigned the task of auditing Philippine Army Accounts for arrears in pay as prepared by the Philippine Army and submitted for approval of the Commanding General, USAFFE, which was in accordance with Paragraph 4, Section VII, War Department Plan (Paragraph 4, Tab V, Annex 1).

(4) Up to November 1945 the Philippine Army made very little progress in preparing and submitting accounts for arrears in pay. Therefor^e, on 25 November 1945, the Recovered Personnel Division was assigned the responsibility for all matters pertaining to arrears in pay for the Philippine Army. Existing 201 files in the Philippine Army Headquarters, containing papers relating to service prior to and including the date of return to military control, were secured from the Philippine Army Headquarters. (Paragraph 5, Tab V, Annex 1 and Paragraph 4, Tab A, Annex 4).

(5) In administering the Missing Persons Act, the Recovered Personnel Division was responsible for developing individual service records of members of the Philippine Scouts, Philippine Commonwealth Army and Recognized Guerrilla organizations during the period 8 December 1941 until date of return to military control, including ranks and grades held, periods during which an individual was entitled to pay under the Missing Persons Act and actual, presumed or determined dates of death (Paragraph 3, Letter 7 December 1948, Tab R, and Paragraph 6, Tab V, Annex 1). Such service histories were accomplished in the form of determinations under the Missing

Persons Act, PL 77-490, as amended (Inclosure 7, Tab R, Annex 1), implemented by the War Department Plan for Casualty Administration Upon Re-occupation of the Philippines (Inclosure 2, Tab R, Annex 1).

(6) In the beginning of the work of the Recovered Personnel Division, the Missing Persons Act was interpreted as providing benefits to military and civilian personnel for the entire period of the Japanese occupation regardless of their activities during that period, provided those activities did not constitute abandonment of loyalty to the United States Government (Paragraph 8a, Letter 7 December 1948, Tab R, Annex 1). In December 1945 it was brought to the attention of the Recovered Personnel Division that this interpretation of the Act was not in conformity with the provisions of Paragraph 1e, Section IV of the War Department Plan. The so called "Restraint Policy" then came into effect with the publication of Staff Memorandum 14, Headquarters, AFWESPAC, 27 December 1945 (Inclosure 3, Tab R, Annex 1). Under this policy an individual was determined not entitled to pay for the period subsequent to termination or suspension of official military or employment status by enemy occupation which did not deprive him of reasonable freedom of action and opportunity of livelihood and did not cause him or his dependents to suffer serious deprivation by reason of his former official status (Paragraph 8b, Letter 7 December 1948, Tab R, Annex 1).

(7) Prior to 30 June 1948 the primary mission of the Philippines Command was ~~to~~ ^{to establish} ^{of individual} service histories ^{upon which} for the purpose of settlement of arrears in pay. ^{(Paragraph 8, Letter 7 December 1948, Tab R, Annex 1).} During the arrears in pay program it was the ^{could be made,} procedure of the Recovered Personnel Division to accept affidavits and like evidence at face value for making status determinations under the Missing

Page 4, Tab F, Annex 1.
(Paragraph 11)

Persons Act. This was done because the law specified a time limit within which payments had to be concluded (by 30 June 1948). Using this procedure enabled the Recovered Personnel Division to complete the arrears in pay program within the allotted time; however, redeterminations for arrears in pay were undertaken up to 31 December 1949. Subsequent to that date no cases were adjudicated or redetermined for arrears in pay because the authority to take such action was withdrawn and the unobligated portion of the appropriations from which such arrears in pay were payable reverted to the United States Treasury.

b. Background (VA Program).

(1) Prior to 30 June 1948 the mission of certifying service information to the Veterans Administration was of secondary importance. After 1 July 1948 this became the principal function of the Adjutant General Records Depository (the successor unit of the Recovered Personnel Division). *(Paragraph 11, Tab F, Annex 1)* In the beginning of the Veterans Administration program the same procedures used by the Recovered Personnel Division were used by the Adjutant General Records Depository. The Missing Persons Act determinations were used in providing the basis for certifications of service to the Veterans Administration (Paragraphs 4 and 5, Letter 7 December 1948, Tab R, Annex 1). After a time it became evident that many of the determinations made under the Missing Persons Act for pay purposes were erroneous because the affidavits used contained false statements and misrepresentations of facts (Paragraphs 11, 22, 23, 24 and 25, Tab A, Annex 4). These irregularities were found after verification from official records and historical data available to the Adjutant General Records Depository. Under those circumstances the procedures

were changed to do justice to the meritorious cases and to protect the interests of the government. It was deemed necessary to reexamine those cases which were favorably certified to the Veterans Administration based on affidavits alone to determine whether the evidence was sufficient. After reexamination, many cases which had previously been approved for arrears in pay and Veterans Administration benefits were denied. The denials were based mainly on insufficiency of evidence to establish membership in the military service or a positive finding that no military service was rendered (Pages 4 and 5, Tab F, Annex 1). In such instances a redetermination was made under the Missing Persons Act revoking the prior determinations (Tab J, Annex 1).

(2) Early in the Philippine Program the Veterans Administration began experiencing difficulty in determining benefits under their laws and regulations based on the copies of the Missing Persons Act determinations which were furnished to them by the Adjutant General Records Depository *(Paragraph 1 found. Let. 7, Annex 1).* The Veterans Administration attempted to resolve their problems with the Adjutant General Records Depository. The Veterans Administration maintained that they could not determine a veteran's benefits under their laws and regulations based on copies of the Missing Persons Act determinations (Paragraph 6, Letter 7 December 1948, Tab R, Annex 1). The Adjutant General Records Depository took the stand that since the arrears in pay program was closed no benefit could be derived by the Army to effect redeterminations of status under the Missing Persons Act merely to conform to Veterans Administration laws and regulations. The Veterans Administration did not expect a redetermination of cases but rather a new determination under their

DECLASSIFIED

Authority ~~AWD~~ 883078

laws and precedents and completely separate and apart from the former ~~Paragraph 11, Letter 7 December 1948, Tab R, Annex 1~~ determinations made under the Missing Persons Act. It was realized by the Adjutant General Records Depository that because the Veterans Administration criteria ^{was} more stringent than the Missing Persons Act, entitlement to veterans benefits would be considerably less than would be possible if the Veterans Administration also used the provisions of the Missing Persons Act for their adjudications. It was also realized that many claimants, upon reduction of their basic entitlement, would appeal the determinations made under the more stringent criteria. Consequently, since the Army made the determinations for Veterans Administration purposes, it would be bound to accept the appeals and the corresponding increase in workload connected with them (Paragraph 11, Letter 7 December 1948, Tab R, Annex 1). These differences could not be resolved by the two local agencies and a conference was arranged in the Pentagon in August 1948 between the Army and the Veterans Administration in an attempt to develop procedures acceptable to both sides and set up the machinery for mass producing Veterans Administration claims. This conference was held in Washington on 11 August 1948 (Inclosure 5, Tab R, Annex 1). It was explained by the Veterans Administration at the conference that Missing Persons Act determinations would not be acceptable for adjudicating Veterans Administration claims. It was agreed that the Army (Adjutant General Records Depository) would furnish certifications of service information in such a manner that the Veterans Administration could adjudicate its claims under the laws it was responsible for administering (Tabs E, ^{H, O,} and P, Annex 1 and Inclosure 4, Tab R, Annex 1).

(3) After the Washington conference on 11 August 1948, additional conferences were held between ^{representatives of} the Adjutant General Records Depository and the Manila Veterans Administration Regional Office. One of these conferences was held on 6 and 7 October 1948 ^{(Nov 3 Nov 48, Tab U, Annex 1, and} Tab U, Annex 1). At this conference the procedures for furnishing copies of medical data to the Veterans Administration were formulated. A proposed form for consolidating service information for Veterans Administration purposes was approved by the Manila Regional Office (Paragraph 13, Tab U, Annex 1). It was ~~also~~ agreed that in cases where an individual was determined to be an unrecognized guerrilla or was determined to have no military service because of insufficient evidence to indicate that the individual was in the service of the Commonwealth Army, that the following statement would be placed on the VA Form 3101:

"Subject individual had no recognized guerrilla service and was not a member of the Commonwealth Army while in the service of the Armed Forces of the United States" (Paragraph 14, Tab U, Annex 1). It was also decided that a statement would be necessary on the VA Form 3101 where a redetermination was made. It was agreed that a rubber stamp would be used and that it would read substantially as follows: "This determination constitutes a redetermination in this case. Such case was redetermined on (Date) and supersedes all determinations previously submitted" (Paragraph 15, Tab U, Annex 1). These statements adopted as a result of this conference are still being used ⁱⁿ the Recovered Personnel Records Branch with slight modifications. Negative cases are now certified to the Veterans Administration with the statement: "Subject individual has no recognized guerrilla service, nor was he a member of the Philippine Commonwealth Army in the service of the Armed Forces of the

United States". If a prior certification has been made to the Veterans Administration and current policies or additional evidence require a redetermination, the following remark is typed on the VA Form 3101: "This redetermination dated (Date) supersedes all previous determinations".

(4) Despite these conferences some difficulties arose which were irreconcilable by the Adjutant General Records Depository and the Manila Veterans Administration Regional Office because of the basic differences in the Missing Persons Act used by the Army and the laws and precedents used by the Veterans Administration. ^{(Letter 13 Dec 48, Tab R, Annex 1 and Paragraph 17, Tab 5, Annex 1).} A letter was written from Headquarters, Philippines Command to The Adjutant General, Washington, D. C. on 7 December 1948 (Tab R, Annex 1) This letter contains a history of the entire Philippine program up to that date with copies of the directives governing the Army and the Veterans Administration. As a result of this letter, a conference was held between high officials of the Veterans Administration and representatives of the Department of the Army on 6 January 1949 in Washington. The results of this conference are contained in the 1st Indorsement dated 19 January 1949 to letter of 7 December 1948 (Tab R, Annex 1). Additional conferences were held between representatives of the Veterans Administration and the Philippines Command on 28 January 1949 and 21 February 1949 (Inclosures 9 and 10, Tab R, Annex 1). Even after this series of conferences some difficulties still existed between the Adjutant General Records Depository and the Manila Veterans Administration Regional Office. Additional conferences and correspondence between the two local offices resolved ^{some} ~~many~~ of these differences and the Adjudication Policy for certifying service information to the Veterans Administration was amended from time to time as the various problems were

settled (See Memorandum for Certifying Service Data to USVA, MRC, 1 November 1949) (Tab L, Annex 1 ^{and TABS I, ~~K and N~~ Annex 1}).

(6)
(5) The current adjudication policy being used by the Recovered Personnel Records Branch is a continuation of the policy used by the Adjutant General Records Depository ^{which} ~~it~~ was developed as a result of the many conferences and voluminous correspondence between Army and Veterans Administration representatives. It provides for the certification of Philippine Army service information to the Veterans Administration independent of the Missing Persons Act determinations. Since the arrears in pay program for Philippine Commonwealth Army personnel terminated on 31 December 1949, redeterminations of status under the Missing Persons Act were not made in those cases wherein the service certification for Veterans Administration purposes was in conflict with the determinations made under the Act for pay purposes. This policy for handling cases regarding Filipino veterans was ^{subsequently} concurred in by Chief, Comptroller Division and Chief, Administrative Services Division, TAGO (Tab ^{can} D, Annex 1).

(5)
(6) In February 1950 the Adjutant General Records Depository started a special review project, later referred to as the "Redetermination Program". All cases that had previously been certified to the Veterans Administration based on affidavits alone were reconsidered. Based on the current adjudication policy for handling cases of Filipino Veterans, many cases which had been previously determined positive for arrears in pay and certified positive to the Veterans Administration were denied. Such denials were not necessarily based on positive proof that an individual did not have service, but rather there was insufficient evidence or proof of such service (Tab G, Annex 1).

(7)
~~was closed and the Army could not recover any money erroneously paid.~~ Many original VA 3101 service requests are currently being received on individuals whose claim files contain a positive MPA determination made for arrears in pay purposes. The available information is insufficient to prove that these prior determinations are erroneous in many cases, but is also insufficient to establish service under the current adjudication policy. Such cases have heretofore been certified as negative to the VA, notwithstanding ~~the~~ ^a prior positive MPA determination. ~~This Branch is to adopt a policy to consider such MPA determinations as correct unless positive proof exists that the MPA determination is erroneous, will revive the old problems which existed in the beginning of the program, since all active duty periods certified to the VA must be substantiated by copies of supporting evidence. Such evidence would be lacking in many instances, i.e.; periods of missing status accorded for alleged illness; unrecognized guerrilla activities; prisoner of war periods beyond 25 January 1943; etc.~~

(8) ~~Additional problems arose as the result of the Veterans Administration extending entitlement to education and training benefits to living Philippine Scout veterans. In order to determine the amount of training for which such personnel ^{could} ~~may~~ be approved, the VA informed AGRD that it would be necessary that additional information to define "active duty" periods be furnished the VA (~~see~~ Tab C, Annex 2). As a result of this letter (Subsequently referred to as General Lovett's letter of 14 March 1949) AGRD proposed a form for the purpose of furnishing supplemental information to the VA explaining in detail ~~as to~~ how a determination of service was made in a case. On 10 June 1949 a conference was held at the Manila VA Regional Office between representatives of the Manila VA Office, AGRD, and the Records Administration Center, St. Louis, ~~see~~ (TAB E, Annex 2). This conference concerned a proposed transfer of Project "J" records and~~

functions from AGRD to RAC, St. Louis. With the transfer of those records and functions to RAC, St. Louis which commenced in November 1949, the function of certifying service information to the VA on Project "J" personnel, particularly Philippine Scouts, commenced in RAC, St. Louis on or about December 1949. The procedures developed by AGRD in processing such cases were continued by RAC. ^{A comparable form as used by AGRD.}
~~A new form~~ for the purpose of certifying service information in Title II, PL 346 VA requests (educational benefits) was developed. ~~This form was given the number AGRAC 1-562 and was titled "Supplemental Army Information".~~ ^(See TAB B, comment) The information furnished on this ^{particular} ~~particular~~ form was considered to be only supplemental information pertaining to the military service of an individual. It was furnished to the VA in order that all information regarding an individual's service would be available to the VA for their use in determining eligibility for benefits under VA laws and policies. Such information was not considered an official Department of the Army redetermination of status under the MPA (See Tab B, Annex 2).
In the cases of Melanio Bilbao and Silvestre Bugayong, referred to in Memorandum ^{from CG, USARCEAN} for Colonel Gould, of 19 December 1957, the service information furnished to the VA was in accordance with the procedures and criteria developed through the Army/VA conferences for certifying such information.
(2)
(#) In the case of Melanio Bilbao, 10 301 791, the VA was furnished a copy of the Redetermination of Casualty Status under the MPA dated 15 March 1946, which held that subject was missing in action from 9 April 1942 to 15 August 1942 and not in a casualty status within the meaning of Section 2 of the Act as defined by Staff Memo 14, 27 December 1945 from 16 August 1942 to 4 March 1945. This MPA determination was insufficient for VA purposes. On 8 August 1949 the VA submitted a request for all information in accordance with General Lovett's letter of 14 March 1949 (^{TAB C,} ~~See~~ Annex 2). Such information was furnished to the VA on 29 November 1949 as supplemental Army information ~~which~~

without
was ~~not~~ an official redetermination of status under the MPA and was understood as such by the VA. It was ^{considered} ~~merely~~ a report of the subject's active duty periods and periods he was, ^{considered} not under military control (not UMC). This information was strictly for use by the VA in adjudicating the Benefits of the subject under VA laws and regulations. It should be noted that this ^{report of supplemental information} ~~so-called unofficial certification~~ gave the individual a shorter period of active duty than did the MPA determination. A redetermination of status under the Act could not be made merely to establish the service creditable for VA purposes. The subject was informed on 9 January 1956 that official records showed that he was missing in action from 9 April 1942 to 15 August 1942 which was in accordance with the determination under the Act of 15 March 1946. It was up to the VA to determine his eligibility for VA benefits based on the information furnished to them on 29 November 1949. Subsequently a redetermination of his status under the Act was made on 9 January 1957 extending his period of missing in action status to 1 September 1942 based on a San Lazaro Hospital clinical record. Subject was informed of the redetermination under the Act on 18 January 1957 and the VA was so informed in a supplemental report on 24 January 1957. The VA was furnished the clinical record to substantiate the fact that the Army extended the missing in action period.

(2) In the case of Silvestre Bugayong, IO 301 436, the VA was likewise furnished a copy of the Redetermination of Status under the MPA dated 12 January 1946, which held that the subject was a prisoner of war from 9 April 1942 to 28 July 1942; missing in action from 29 July 1942 to 15 December 1942; not in a casualty status from 16 December 1942 to 16 August 1944; and missing in action from 17 August 1944 to 4 March 1945. As in the case of Bilbao, this MPA determination was insufficient for VA purposes. On 23 March 1949 the VA requested all information in accordance with General Lovett's letter of 14 March 1949. As in the case of Bilbao it was furnished as a supplement to the MPA determination

(d) Requests from the VA for service certifications for determination of entitlement to benefits under Title II, PL 346 are no longer received, since the program was for World War II veterans and is now closed.

DECLASSIFIED
Authority NOV 88307P

~~and~~ ^{without} was not an official redetermination under the Act. While the MPA determination was sufficient for Army purposes for settlement of arrears in pay it was not sufficient for the VA to adjudicate VA benefits. No official redetermination under the MPA was made by the Army, ~~since it was not the Army's business to make such a redetermination merely to conform to the VA laws and regulations.~~

(c) ~~k~~. The procedures followed in the foregoing cases of Melanio Bilbao and Silvestre Bugayong were established as a result of The Adjutant General's decision dated 1 March 1948 in the case of Teodorico Soson, R-314 641 which was reiterated by the Chief, Personnel Actions Branch in Comment No. 2, 17 August 1950 (~~See~~ Tab A, Annex 2). The information contained in Comment No. 3, 17 August 1950 was the basis for statements made to the VA as in the case of Melanio Bilbao that the information that he was not under military control from 9 April 1942 to 4 March 1945, was furnished in order that all information regarding his service would be available to the VA for use in determining eligibility for benefits under VA laws and policies, and that it was not an official Department of the Army redetermination of status.

(9) Another problem which developed with the Veterans Administration was the furnishing of additional death data. This problem was brought up by the Veterans Administration in February 1954 and concerned the procedure followed by the Military Personnel Records Center in considering the date a determination or finding of death was made to be the date on which the Veterans Administration case was processed by MPRC. These cases usually pertained to individuals who died early in World War II, but the records data on which the determinations or findings of death were made was in many cases on file as early as 1945. Under the provisions of P^u 89-419, if an individual eligible for Veterans Administration benefits files claim within 1 year after a determination or finding of death is made, he is entitled to benefits retroactive to the day following the date of death (See Tab x7, Annex 1). Under that Act and the existing procedure followed by MPRC, the Veterans Administration was required to pay retroactive benefits for 10-12 years. This was not considered the intent of the Missing Persons Act. After a series of conferences between the Veterans Administration and the Army a new procedure was adopted which was declared legally supportable in an opinion rendered by The Judge Advocate General (JAGA 1953/9503) (Tab x6, Annex 1).

(a) Although this new procedure was clear in its meaning involving Guerrilla personnel, it did not clearly define the action to be taken in a Philippine Army USAFFE case. On 1 February 1954 The Acting Secretary of the Army advised the Administrator of Veterans Affairs that after a thorough study of the matter by the Department of the Army it was decided that in all future Veterans Administration cases, the date of determination of death would be that date when the casualty roster bearing the individual's name was finalized and authenticated and that the date on which the finding of death was made would be the date on which evidence necessary to support such finding was on file and of official record in the Headquarters of the

activity involved, notwithstanding the fact that the occasion to transmit the determination or finding of death to the Veterans Administration or other agency did not arise until a later date (Tab X-5, Annex 1).

(b) In response to a Veterans Administration proposal to amend their regulation to establish 30 June 1948 as the latest date a determination or presumption of death could be made in cases involving guerrilla service (except for Philippine Scouts and AUS personnel), The Adjutant General advised the Veterans Administration on 26 May 1954 that the Department of the Army took exception to the use of that date since there could conceivably occur a case in which the date a determination or presumption of death was made subsequent to 30 June 1948. (Tab X-4, Annex 1). ✓

(c) The new procedure for certifying the date a determination or presumption of death was made became fully effective on 1 March 1954. As a result of this procedural change, several hundred supplemental requests were received from the Veterans Administration acknowledging receipt of prior reports in the cases involved and requesting the earliest date a determination or finding of death was made phrased in a variety of ways. Many of these requests implied that two different dates were expected in the Army's reply i.e.: the earliest date determination of death was made and the earliest date of evidence on file which would have supported same. On the basis of the implication contained in these requests, MPRC began reporting two dates, - one date as the date of determination or finding of death and an earlier date as the date of receipt of evidence. (~~Tab X-4, Annex 1~~). On 8 July 1955 the Assistant Administrator for Administration, VA Central Office brought up the point that this practice was a deviation from the original agreement, in a letter to the VA Liaison Officer, MPRC, A conference was held at MPRC on 25 July 1955 to discuss the problem. It was suggested by representatives of MPRC that future VA requests be worded in the following or similar language:

"Please furnish a certification of the earliest date of determination or finding of death, taking into consideration the earliest date of receipt

of evidence adequate to make such a determination or finding of death."

In reply to such a request MPRC agreed to phrase replies in the following language:

"Earliest date of determination (or finding) of death is _____, which is the earliest date a determination or finding was made, or the earliest date when evidence adequate to support such certification of death was received by this Department."

It was necessary for MPRC to include the clause "...which is the earliest date a determination or finding was made..." because some current certifications were based on earlier determinations or findings, which in turn were founded on evidence no longer available (Tab X-3, Annex 1). ✓

(d) In a letter of 24 October 1955 from the Veterans Administration Central Office to the VA Liaison Officer, MPRC the above mentioned phrasing was considered satisfactory if the word "presumption" were substituted for the word "finding" (Tab X-2, Annex 1). This proposal was accepted by MPRC and these paragraphs were subsequently published in the RPR Branch SOP of 2 November 1955 (Tab X-1, Annex 1).

(e) In adopting the procedure of taking into consideration all types of available evidence to determine if they were adequate to make a determination or presumption of death, and the date such evidence was received by Recovered Personnel Division or its successor units, has created the situation where in many cases, the date being furnished to the Veterans Administration as the date such determination or presumption was made is different than the date shown in the Missing Persons Act determination. This particular problem is the subject of another study being made for the CO, USARCEN by a representative of Review and Analysis Branch, Comptroller's Office, DODMPRC.

DECLASSIFIED

Authority AWD 883078

*Condense + include
statement re operations
of this unit*

(10) The problem of the 14th Infantry (Philippine Army). The 14th Infantry (Philippine Army) was a unit composed of stragglers from various elements of the U. S. Armed Forces in the Far East who became isolated in northern Luzon by the Japanese seizure of lines of communication during the early stages of the invasion of the Philippine Islands. After the surrender of the U. S. Forces in the Philippines by General Wainwright on 6 May 1942, some elements of this unit refused to surrender and continued in exist^ence, maintaining radio contact with General MacArthur's headquarters in Australia. In a radiogram from General MacArthur's headquarters dated 12 July 1942, Lt. Colonel Nakar, at that time commanding the 14th Infantry, was authorized to induct certain members of his organization into the AUS. Blocks of 1,000 enlisted men and 100 officer serial numbers were allotted. Nakar, ^{subsequently} informed *General* MacArthur that he had inducted all personnel.

(a) By 1946 a total of 3,500 claims were received by Recovered Personnel Division, ARWESPAC, from individuals who claimed membership in this unit and induction into the AUS. Eventually, 1,235 of the claims were approved for AUS status by RPD. During the period from late 1949 through 1950, the Adjutant General Records Depository, as successor to RPD, had ~~xxx~~ occasion to restudy many of those cases and to revoke AUS status in 543 of them. AGRD then reached a conclusion that a complete review was necessary. Permission for review was granted by TAG, but the authority of AGRD was limited to recommendations with the final decision reserved to the Records Administration Center, St. Louis. In the course of the review, AGRD recommended that of 1,195 cases submitted for review, only 46 be granted AUS status. In the remaining 1,149 cases, it was recommended that/^{AUS}status either remain revoked or be revoked. RAC made determinations on these ~~ix~~ 1,195 cases and continued in AUS status 649 of those cases recommended by AGRD for revocation. In addition, RAC restored AUS status in 534 cases previously revoked by AGRD and recommended by AGRD for continued revocation. In all, RAC awarded AUS status in 1,183 of the 1,195 cases. Because of the entirely different viewpoints reached by RAC and AGRD, it became apparent that a decision was necessary by TAG as to which, if either, of the two agencies was correct and that a firm and final decision had to be made.

(b) On 6 May 1952 TAG decided that another complete review would be made of all cases of those individuals who at one time or another had 11th Infantry AUS status. The objective of the review was to ascertain finally those individuals who were legitimately entitled to AUS status in that unit. AGRD was directed to undertake an exhaustive research project to develop all possible factual data regarding the 11th Infantry. This project was not completed until 17 June 1953, after the functions of AGRD were transferred to MPRC, St. Louis.

(c) The final review of the 1,235 cases alleging 14th Infantry, AUS status started in NPRO in August 1953 and was completed in December 1953. After completion of this review it was determined that 122 members of the 14th Infantry were appointed or inducted into the AUS. An official roster of those individuals was published on 14 January 1954. This roster is considered final and no additions or deletions thereto are authorized.

(d) All revocation actions during that review were accomplished by memorandums for record approved by civilian supervisory personnel. Letters of revocation of AUS status were sent to the veterans concerned or their next of kin. With the revocation of AUS ~~status~~ service in a case, any service the individual may have had was considered to be as a member of the Philippine Army. Subsequent service reports to the Veterans Administration or other agencies were made in those cases without an official redetermination of status under the Missing Persons Act having been made.

^{Background}
e. BACKGROUND (WCC Program).

(1) Public Law 80-896, 3 July 1948, established a commission to be known as the "War Claims Commission" and provided, in part, for the payment of compensation to ~~members~~ of the military or naval forces of the United States who were held as a prisoner of war by an enemy government after 7 December 1941. Survivors of deceased ex-prisoners of war were also eligible to file claim under this law. (See Tab 2, Annex 3). However, it was not until August 1952 that certifications of prisoner of war status started to be made by AGRD to the War Claims Commission on Philippine ^{Army} personnel. ~~The reason for the long delay was to firm up the policy and procedures for furnishing to the WCC the information they required to effect payment of such claims.~~

9
26

DECLASSIFIED

Authority NOI 883078

(2) A meeting was held in Washington on 27 June 1950 between representatives of the Army and the War Claims Commission. The purpose of the meeting was to formulate plans for furnishing information to the WCC (See Tab X, Annex 3). AGRD agreed to make available to the WCC certain card files pertaining to USAFFE personnel. Samples of the various cards were furnished to the WCC ^{by letter on} 1 August 1950. It was pointed out to the WCC in this same letter that such card files were not considered to be a positive indication of ^{military service} USAFFE status and that many determinations of positive ^{service} USAFFE status for arrears in pay purposes were erroneous (See Tab W, Annex 3). Because AGRD thought that the WCC might decide to make their awards based on prior arrears in pay determinations made by AGRD, Colonel Gold, ^{then} ^{Commanding Officer of AGRD, on 1 August 1950} wrote a letter to Lt. Colonel Eugene S. Graham, Dept. of the Army Liaison Officer with Veterans Administration, requesting that he (Colonel Graham) express his views to the WCC to not use arrears in pay determinations to make their awards (See Tab V, Annex 3).

(3) On 1 November 1950 the War Claims Commission established a field office at Manila, Philippines to receive Philippine Claims under the War Claims Act of 1948. Based on conferences with the VA the WCC planned to use the information contained in the files of the VA in adjudicating Philippine prisoner of war claims. It was believed that the majority of the POW claims could be substantiated by information in the VA files. It was felt, ^{though,} that in some claims the allegations of military ^{service} status and capture and release dates would require verification from AGRD records. ^{(See P and U, Annex 3).} The WCC formally requested the Secretary of the Army to approve that any verifications of military ^{service} status and prisoner of war periods furnished by AGRD be made on a nonreimbursable basis (See Tab 5, Annex 3).

On 9 March 1951 the Secretary of the Army advised the WCC that the Dept. of the Army could not furnish information on file at AGRD to the WCC on a nonreimbursable

(See N, Annex 3)

In response to a subsequent request from the WCC of 26 March 1952, the Secretary of the Army on 25 April 1952 advised the WCC that the Department of the Army would furnish the WCC the desired information on Filipino claimants on a nonreimbursable basis. (See TAB M, Annex 3)

PS cont

(P) Q. A test of 500 ex-prisoner of war claims was arranged for between WCC, Manila and AGRD in December 1950. On 27 February 1951 a report was furnished by AGRD to WCC, Manila on the completed claims. One interesting aspect of the test was the comparison of the number of days of POW determined for arrears in pay purposes against those periods of POW certified for WCC purposes. A total of 411 of the claim files involved contained a copy of the arrears in pay determination work sheet. The total number of days difference between the POW periods shown on the arrears in pay determination work sheets and the certifications made for WCC purposes amounted to 18,150 days, ^{per certified to WCC,} or an average of 44 days per case. (See Tab Q, Annex 3). This test also revealed that less than 10% of the 500 claims tested were made for persons who had a VA claim also of record. Based on these statistics it was apparent that the WCC could not satisfactorily obtain from the VA the information they would require to adjudicate ^{the majority of} their prisoner of war claims. Verification of service information and prisoner of war periods had to be obtained from AGRD records. Answers to several questions raised by the WCC regarding availability of records and POW information ^{are contained in OP Form 31 from the Director, SAC} were answered AGRD in Memorandum ^{to the Director's Office, AGRD} 12 June 1957 (See Tab O, Annex 3). ^{This report stated} The WCC was informed that 90% of the AGRD 201 files contained ~~an~~ arrears in pay determination worksheets. Since experience showed such a high degree of error in those determinations, AGRD informed the WCC ^{they} that in simple justice to the U. S. Government, ~~these~~ determinations could not be made available to any agency for use in determining either basic military identity or periods of status. AGRD had ^{the most} ~~rather~~ complete information, ^{known to be available} on the two main POW camps--O'Donnell and Malaybalay, including rosters at both camps, plus information from the Manila Tribune files, and various hospital registers. Such information could ^{be} ~~have~~ been assembled and reproduced, ^{for use by WCC} at considerable expense,

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078

but it ~~would be~~ ^{was considered} of very little value because the names required identification

with the subjects of claims through a meeting of the 201 files. ^{See paragraphs 4 and 5,}

27
10/10/55
TAB 2, Annex 3).
(e) After the Secretary of the Army informed the WCC that the Department of the Army would furnish the ^{claim} WCC the desired information in Filipino ^{claims} claimants on a nonreimbursable basis, ~~(Tab B, Annex)~~, preparations were made to begin

the certification program on a large scale. AGRD proposed ^{an} application form for POW benefits which was submitted to WCC for approval. The reasons for a type form distinctive from that designed on the basis of the Army of the United States operating in Europe. ^{well:} The new form was designed as a protection against fraud;


it was better suited to the type of records in the custody of AGRD which consisted of identifying data supplied by secondary evidence in the form of affidavits; and it could be used for both living and deceased claims ^{(see TAB 4, Annex 3).}

(f) It was ~~definitely~~ decided that the arrears in pay determinations would not be used in making certifications of military identity and prisoner of war periods to the WCC, ^{because} since those determinations were not considered reliable. After those original determinations were made, field investigations and correlation of historical data proved many of the original determinations to be incorrect. This policy was ^{subsequently} concurred in by TAG and ~~is reiterated~~ in TAG letter of 19 October 1955 ^{(see Tab H, Annex 3).}

(g) Based on the approved procedures between the Department of the Army and the WCC, an adjudication policy for WCC work units was published in Veterans Branch Memorandum 20, Headquarters, AGRD, 25 November 1952 ^{(see Annex 5).} After the relocation of the Philippine Records to St. Louis in 1953 this adjudication policy was republished in Recovered Personnel Records Branch Memorandum No. 10, 31 August 1953 ^{(Annex 6).} These SOP's served as the basis for determining eligibility and certifying information to the WCC concerning ex-prisoner of war claims.

stay

It provided that the determination of Prisoner of War service would be based on archive data when possible. ^{(Paragraph 1, Section D, Annexes 5 and 6) contain} Since the archives did not require full information as to length of time of confinement, a determination of actual period was made based on the claimants' statements. When conflicting statements were made the shortest period alleged was accepted, except that the determined period of POW could not extend beyond the known expiration date of POW known to have occurred at the place of proven concentration. ^(Paragraphs 5 and 6, Section D, Annexes 5 and 6 and Sub K, Annex 3) There was no provision in the WCC Adjudication Policy that the prior MPA determinations would be used in making certifications to the WCC or that a redetermination of status under the Act would be made in any case where the WCC certification was not in agreement with the prior MPA determination. *There is no known written directive dealing with this subject other than that contained in Sub H, Annex 3.*



(9) In WCC cases where a certification was made to the Veterans Administration, the latest VA certification of record was examined to ^{establish} determine whether it had been made under the Redetermination Project (on or after ~~the~~ February 1950) (Sub F, Annex 1). If the certification to the VA was made under that project, such certification was followed in making certification to War Claims Commission. If not certified to VA under the Redetermination Project, ~~a certification was made and~~ a new certification furnished the VA. When the ^{new} VA determination had been completed ~~the~~ certification was made ^{to} the War Claims Commission in accordance therewith. No deviations were ^{to be} made from any VA certifications. ^{(Paragraph 2, Section IV, Annexes 5 and 6).} In all other cases the War Claims Commission certification was based on adjudication of the 201 file of the subject, together with archive data and other applicable evidence. ^{(Paragraph 3, Section IV, Annexes 5 and 6).}

(10) The ^{certification} determination made in the WCC cases where a prior VA certification was not of record, consisted only of proof of ^{military} ~~USAF~~ identity and prisoner of war service. A complete ^{of} determination of inclusive dates of military service and status under the Missing Persons Act was not required in such cases. In a case

23
20

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078

wherein the ^{with his identity} USAFFE status was ^{considered} determined negative because of insufficient proof, 7
the WCC certification, ^{was to} reflect "No Record" for USAFFE. In those "No Record"
cases the POW period was also certified negative using "None" or "No Record", as
appropriate. ^{(Paragraph 10, Article VI, Annexes 5 and 6),} "No Record" in both instances was used only when consistency and
compatibility existed in the entire 201 file and the WCC Claim Form. ^(Paragraph 11, Annex 5) All cases in
which concentration at Camp O'Donnell was alleged which could not be verified by
the official rosters were certified as "None" for Prisoner of War status, except
where a doubt existed whether or not a POW record pertained, in which case a certi-
fication of "No Record" for Prisoner of War status was made. ^{file} Under ^(Paragraph 11, Annex 5) established
policy of the Department of the Army all ^{certification} determinations of prisoner of war status
were based on evidence of record and consideration of the evidence submitted by
the claimant. No correspondence with the claimant was authorized. Since the records
available to the Department of the Army were not complete and correspondence with
the claimant ^{was} could not ^{be} initiated, it was ^{determined} that in cases where the evi-
dence did not conclusively establish Prisoner of War status, but did not preclude
Prisoner of War status, a certification of "No Record" was ^{to be} made. If the evidence
of record was sufficient to ^{establish} determine that an individual was not a Prisoner of
war within the meaning of the War Claims Act of 1948, a certification of "None" was ^{to be} made,
^{except in those instances where a doubt existed as to whether or not a certain POW record pertained}
^{in which case the certification was to reflect "No Record"} All certifications of "No Record" made during the certification program
implied that a doubt existed and such certifications were subject to change upon
submission of additional evidence or evidence which made possible the identification
of archive records. ^{(Paragraph 3, Tab I, Annex 3),} Based on the criteria established for reporting a case as "None"
for Prisoner of War status ^{at that time} there was no basis for reconsideration of such cases. ^{unless}
(The only exception on a "None" certification was an instance where the Foreign
Claims Settlement Commission ^{was referred to to establish} received evidence, that a particular area not previously
recognized by the Department of the Army as a concentration area was in fact a
bonafide Prisoner of War Concentration Camp. ^{such} One situation of that nature-

~~existing~~ ^{ad} involved the Tacloban Area, ^{by Research Personnel RR Section} a study was made of that Area by MPRC based on evidence ^{submitted with a claim} referred from the ^{USC} (Foreign Claims Settlement Commission). The evidence was determined acceptable and all cases of the individuals listed in the evidence were reviewed and recertified ^{where appropriate} accordingly. (Paragraph 4, Tab I, Annex 3).

(11) ^{As a measure} for processing War Claims Commission Appeals ^{Case} The War Claims Commission submitted proposed criteria to the Chief, Records Management, TAGO on 1 February 1954. This correspondence was forwarded by Chief, Comptroller Division, TAGO to the CO, Military Personnel Records Center, TAGO on 4 February 1954 for comments. In connection with the problem of appeal cases a conference was held at the offices of the War Claims Commission in Washington on 18 February 1954. Based on the results of the conference, reply was made to Chief, Comptroller Division, TAGO on 2 March 1954, regarding the processing of War Claims Commission Appeal cases (See Tab J, Annex 3). A ^{decision} determination was made at the conference of the types of evidence that would be accepted for review, on appeal, by MPRC. The only cases to be returned to MPRC were those wherein the WCC certification indicated that the military service of the individual had been established but was determined ^{certified} negative for POW. Such cases would be reexamined if the appeal contained positive evidence of POW service; the appeal pertained to one of the 500 cases certified in the original stages of formulating plans and procedures of the War Claims Commission Project and the appeal evidence indicated a review was warranted; or the appeal pertained to a case adjudicated by the War Claims Commission based on an existing VA certification where the appeal evidence indicated a review would result in a change of certification. Where the War Claims Commission certification indicated the military service of the individual had not been established, such cases would be reexamined only if the appeal evidence consisted of the original induction paper indicating the individual was actually inducted. (Paragraph 2, Tab J, Annex 3).

15
32

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078

194. On 4 October 1954 a recommendation was made by the CO, MPRC to the Chief, Administrative Services Division that cases certified as "No Record" for Prisoner of War status be returned to MPRC for automatic review. This recommendation was made based on a sampling of 1,000 cases in which it was found that 41 or 4% had been certified "No Record" for Prisoner of War status. A review of those 41 cases revealed that 7 cases or 17% required a positive recertification. It was estimated that a total of 5,400 cases had been certified as "No Record" for Prisoner of War status and that 17% or 918 of those cases could be recertified as positive. As a result of this recommendation all cases previously certified as "No Record" for Prisoner of ~~war~~^{war} status were returned to MPRC for automatic review. ^{(Sub I, Annex 3).} Any other cases were returned only when the specific criteria described in paragraph ^{4 c(10)} above were met.

(15) In a letter dated 5 April 1955 the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission ^(the new designation for The War Claims Commission) notified The Adjutant General that the provisions of PL 80-896 pertaining to monetary benefits of World War II prisoner of war had expired. The expiration date for filing such claims was 31 March 1955. The Commission requested that the Department of the Army not forward any correspondence to the Commission on the subject, but that the writers be notified that there was no provisions for the continued payment of such benefits. ^{(Subsections 1 and 2, Sub F, Annex 3).} This procedure was in effect until December 1955 when an appeal was received from an individual in whose case a prior negative certification was made for Prisoner of ~~war~~^{war} status. Examination of the POW rosters revealed a name under a different spelling which was identified as pertaining to the individual. A request was submitted to the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission on 4 January 1956 as to whether they desired a new certification in cases of that nature in view of the expiration of the provisions of PL 80-896. ^{(Subsection 3, Sub F, Annex 3).} The Commission replied on 6 February 1956 that even though the claims program terminated on

20
33

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078

31 March 1955, certain liquidating functions remained and required attention. Since the Commission was interested in ascertaining the propriety of its actions they desired to be advised of any cases where the previous certifications to them were revised or reversed. (Inclosure 4, Sub F, Annex 3).

(1) Based on a decision of the Comptroller General of the U. S. on 27 April 1956 (B-127558) it was held that the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission had the power to modify its determinations on the ground of errors or new evidence. In view of this development the Commission requested the Department of the Army to continue to recertify any cases where previous ^{certifications} determinations were revised or reversed. The Commission was also interested in the possibility of determining the exact number of ^{recertifications} redeterminations affecting status of prisoner of war personnel furnished the VA between 31 March 1955 and May 1956. (Inclosure 1, Sub E, Annex 3). Because of the cost involved in screening 800,000 files to obtain the exact number the task could not be undertaken. It was estimated that not more than 200 such ^{recertifications} redeterminations had been furnished the VA during the period following 31 March 1955. (Sub B, Annex 3). The Commission was informed by TAG on 19 July 1956 that the Department of the Army would advise them of all future cases where ^{recertifications} redeterminations affecting prisoner of war personnel were furnished the VA. Also that appeal cases received direct from claimants or from the Commission would continue to be reviewed, and recertifications furnished the Commission for appropriate action when warranted. (Inclosure 2, Sub A, Annex 3). This policy is ^{still} currently in effect.

d. Discussion
DISCUSSION (VA Program)

(10) Many differences exist in the reporting of service information to the Veterans Administration and Prisoner of War certifications to the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission on Philippine Army personnel in that the original Missing Persons Act determinations ^{were} not followed in making those certifications prior to 19 December 1957.

(2) Certifications of service to the Veterans Administration on Philippine Scouts were made based on the latest determination of status under the Act contained in the individual 201 files. In instances where the current determination was found to be in error, a redetermination of status under the Act was made prior to certifying the individual's service to the Veterans Administration. In accordance with agreements made between the Department of the Army and the Veterans Administration, certain additional information was furnished to the Veterans Administration regarding an individual's activities during the Japanese occupation of the Philippine Islands which was not in agreement with the determinations made under the Act. Such information was furnished strictly for Veterans Administration purposes, ~~and was not considered an~~ *without an* official redetermination of status by the Department of the Army.

(3) Up until the transfer of the functions of AGRD to St. Louis in January 1953, all certifications made to the Veterans Administration on Philippine Army personnel were signed by an officer of the Department of the Army acting in behalf of the Commanding Officer, who was delegated by the Secretary of the Army to make determinations under the Missing Persons Act ^(*701 M. Cases 1*). Those certifications could be considered as determinations under the Missing Persons Act, except that such certifications indicated Recognized Guerrilla Service rather than "Missing" or "Missing in Action" based on Recognized Guerrilla service during the period covered by the Act. When a certification of service and status was furnished to the Veterans Administration which conflicted with a prior report furnished that agency, including copies of prior ~~under~~ MPA determinations, a remark was placed on the VA Form 3101 that "This determination supersedes all prior determinations".

(4) In February 1953, in connection with the activation of the then Recovered Personnel Record Section under Col. Lindquist as officer in charge, the matter of authenticating certifications to the VA was referred to the CO. The decision

was made that the Center's procedures for civilians to authenticate such reports would prevail which required the use of a rubber stamp showing the name of The Adjutant General with his signature. ^{at} ~~No changes were made in the cases of other Philippine personnel (Including Philippine Scouts and War Department Civilian Employees). All determinations or redeterminations of status under the Missing Persons Act involving those personnel were properly prepared for the signature of the Commanding Officer delegated authority to make official determinations under the Act.~~ *Since Feb 53, procedures and personnel have approved and signed all certificates of service and status on Philippine personnel by the USA.*

(5) In line with paragraph 3 of Memorandum for Colonel Gould of 19 December 1957, from CO, USARCEN, the practice of reporting service information not in accordance with an official determination under the Missing Persons Act was discontinued. When the records indicate a determination previously made to be in error, an official redetermination of status is being made. All cases where it is found that the prior official determination, ^{under the MPA cannot be supported by} ~~is in conflict with~~ the current SOP for adjudicating Philippine Army Veterans Administration Cases are being held pending a policy decision on this matter. *(see bag?)*

(6) It ~~has been the policy~~ ^{is a requirement} in processing Veterans Administration requests on Philippine Army Personnel to furnish that agency with documentary proof in support of "active duty" status as distinguished from an "active service" status. The Veterans Administration under their laws must make a determination in Philippine Army cases as to whether a veteran was in an active duty status at the time of disability or death. Although that agency ^{contacts that it} cannot question the finding of the service department that an individual was in the military service, it is not bound by the same conclusion reached by the service department concerning "active duty" status as distinguished from being carried on the rolls at the time. For example, a soldier may be considered by the service department to be in a missing status based on unrecognized anti-Japanese activities. ~~Under Staff Memo 14, RPD, AFWESPAC, The Veterans Administration does not consider such activities as an "active duty" status. On the other hand, a~~

soldier may be considered by the service department to be in a missing status based on unrecognized guerrilla service under a commissioned officer of the Commonwealth Army of the Philippines recognized by and cooperating with the U. S. Forces. In such cases the Veterans Administration does consider those activities as an "active duty" status, when supported by documentary proof from the service department. Documentary proof is furnished in the form of affidavits of the officers concerned or extracts of the guerrilla archives in the custody of the Recovered Personnel Records Branch, USARCEN. This documentary proof serves as the basis for the Veterans Administration to make their determination of "active duty" status. The Veterans Administration has expressed their views for the continued need of documentary proof in support of "active duty" periods in a letter from the Assistant Administrator for Administration, Veterans Administration Central Office, Washington to the Veterans Administration Liaison Officer, Army Records Center (Tab B, Annex 1).

(7) Action has already been taken to effect a slight change in the procedure for certifying service data to the VA in connection with Philippine Army claims, with respect to the periods covered by the Missing Persons Act. Prior to 19 December 1957 some service certifications were being made to the VA indicating status periods under the Missing Persons Act as "Recognized Guerrilla Service" in Item 4 of ARGEN Form 632. Such status is defined under the Act as a "Missing Status". In order to prevent further reporting of information not in accordance with an official determination under the Missing Persons Act, or the Department of the Army's interpretation of "Missing Status" under Staff Memo 14, RPD, AFWESPAC, 27 December 1945, a change in procedure was effected to hold to the definitions of status defined in the Missing Persons Act when reporting status under the Act in Item 4 of ARGEN Form 632. Four VA Form 3101 requests involving different types of service certifications were selected for examples of the procedural change. These claims were prepared in final form and returned to the Manager, Veterans Administration Regional

Office, Manila, Philippines by cover letter on 7 February 1958. No change was made in the procedure of explaining all periods of "Missing Status" in Item 6 of ARCEN Form 632, therefore, the change in procedure should not create any problems to the Veterans Administration (Tab A, Annex 1).

e. ~~Discussion~~ ^{Discussion} (WCC Program)

(1) As in the VA Program differences also existed in the Prisoner of War certifications made to the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission and the original Missing Persons Act determinations. Based on the statistics developed for the purpose of establishing the procedures to be followed in making certifications to the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, it was ascertained that 90% of the Philippine Army claim folders contained a determination under the Act. ^{However,} ~~not~~ those determinations were not considered when making certifications of Prisoner of War Status to the Commission.

(2) The reason for not using the Missing Persons Act determinations was that because of the time limit imposed on the arrears in pay program, the Army had to make the determinations based on the face value of affidavits without the benefit of investigation. Subsequently many errors were discovered after verification from official records and historical data available to AGRD. This brought about a change in procedures and apparently served as the basis for the Special Review Project commencing in February 1950 involving the Veterans Administration certifications on Philippine Army personnel. It was decided through conferences and agreements not to use the determinations under the Missing Persons Act for certifying Prisoner of War Status to the ^{VA} Foreign Claims ~~Settlement~~ Commission. ^{As a result} Detailed procedures were established and published in SOP form (Annexes 5 and 6). These ^{procedural instructions} ~~procedures~~ provided that the determination of Prisoner of War status would be based mainly on archive data.

75
Although this did not result in a material difference in the fact of prisoner of war status as previously determined ~~on the determinations made~~ under the Missing Persons Act, it did ^{effect} the duration of prisoner of war periods ^{and} the certifications to

the War Claims Commission were in many cases shorter than those established by the official MPA determinations. Utilization of the notices of releases as published in the Manila Tribune, the newspaper published in the Philippines during the occupation, is one factor that contributed to these changes. The test of 500 ex-prisoner of war claims conducted between December 1950 and February 1951 revealed that 411 files contained a determination of status under the Act. The certifications made for War Claims Commission purposes in those 411 cases amounted to 18,150 days less than what was determined for the same personnel on the determinations made under the Act. This amounted to an average difference of 44 days per case. If the procedure of using archive data to establish Prisoner of War periods was considered correct it appears that in all of those cases wherein a period of Prisoner of War status differed from the determination under the Act, a corrected redetermination under the Act should have been made.

(3) Although military identity was a requirement for eligibility for benefits under the War Claims Act of 1948, an individual must have been an ex-prisoner of war to qualify for entitlement to any payments. During the entire program the original determinations of status under the Missing Persons Act were disregarded as proof of military identity or prisoner of war status. Where the individual's records did not contain a certification to the Veterans Administration and his name was not found on the rosters or other archive data showing known prisoners of war, his case was usually certified to the Commission as negative for service and for prisoner of war status without regard to the determination of status under the Missing Persons Act. No special effort was made to establish the individual's military identity under the criteria existing at that time if it could not be established that he had a prisoner of war status. Subsequent to the reports made to the FCSC, requests on these same individuals in many instances were received from the Veterans Administration.

38
39

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078

In the event the service report to the Veterans Administration contained no period of POW no amending certification to FCSC was made. However, ^{after 19 Dec 1916} it was the procedure to amend the certifications to FCSC when new reports to the Veterans Administration contained periods of POW resulting from Philippine Army USAFFE service. Accordingly many records do contain reports to the Veterans Administration dated subsequent to the report to FCSC which are contradictory in regard to military identity. Effective 2 October 1957 the latter situation was rectified by direction of CO, USARCEN. Present procedure requires a corrected report to FCSC whenever the prior report is not in agreement with the current service report to the Veterans Administration.

(4) In all cases determined positive for Prisoner of War status a special effort was made to equalize the periods of such status in the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission and Veterans Administration certifications. All certifications of Prisoner of War status made to the Veterans Administration were accepted without question in making a certification to the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission if such Veterans Administration certification was made subsequent to 10 February 1950. If the Veterans Administration certification was made prior to that date a recertification of service to that agency was required prior to making a certification of Prisoner of War status to the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission.

40

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078

5. CONCLUSIONS:

a. The policy and procedures in effect in the Recovered Personnel Records Branch do not provide for using the official determinations of status under the Missing Persons Act in reporting Philippine Army ~~Service~~ service data to various Government agencies. This has resulted in the reporting of casualty status data under the Missing Persons Act in many cases which is inconsistent with the official determinations.

b. The certifications made to the Veterans Administration on VA Forms 3101 and AGRD Forms 32, certifications to FCSC (WCC), and signed by an officer of AGRD, imply action under the delegation of authority from the Secretary of the Army. These have been considered as ^{an} the official determinations of status and service to the present date. The fact that these VA reports carried the remark "This redetermination supersedes all prior determinations" leaves little basis to doubt the intent (paragraph^d(3) above and Tab M, Annex 1).

c. Those certifications made to the Veterans Administration and FCSC from MPRC and USARCEN during the period from 8 February 1953 to 19 December 1957, were signed by certain officers and civilian personnel under authority granted to them by the then Commanding Officer, who had the authority from the Secretary of the Army to take action under the Missing Persons Act. These certifications may be of doubtful validity as official redeterminations under the Missing Persons Act (paragraph^d(4) above). It clearly remains that a means must be approved to eliminate the necessity of reexamining all service and status reports made during that period because of the numbers involved. Based on the production figures taken from the ^{subsequent} ~~Q & P~~ reports it is estimated that about 54,114 VA reports and at least 25,800 of the 111,011 total FCSC (WCC) reports would be affected.

d. Reevaluation of evidence submitted in support of prior MPA determinations is warranted because of inconsistencies and attempted fraud as brought out in the investigation of the Baguio Ring, ^{and} the Bataan Military District, and the 14th Infantry (Tab G, Annex 1 and ~~Paragraph~~⁴ b(10) above).

e. Effective 19 December 1957, at the instructions of the Commanding Officer, USARCEAN, certifications to the Veterans Administration are made following the prior MPA determination unless the available evidence indicates a determination previously made to be in error. When a change is warranted by the evidence and circumstances of record in a file, a redetermination of status under the Act is made and approved by an officer with delegated authority. Since this action conflicts with the Standing Operating Procedures of the Recovered Personnel Records Branch for processing Philippine Army and Guerrilla cases, it establishes a need for a policy decision concerning the handling of certain categories of cases described in (1) and (2) below which are currently placed in suspense:

7
Handwritten notes
(1) Where the evidence of military identity as required by the Standing Operating Procedures is lacking and the prior determination under the Missing Persons Act shows positive military identity and status.

(2) Where the evidence is lacking to support a particular casualty status under the Missing Persons Act i.e., Missing for periods of illness, or periods of alleged guerrilla service, etc.

f. A uniform procedure is necessary to reflect the status of Philippine Army, ~~USARFFE~~ (including Recognized Guerrilla) personnel under the Missing Persons Act.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS.

a. That certifications of service and status to the VA made by AGRD prior to the transfer of the functions of that agency to St. Louis be considered as official determinations or redeterminations of status under the Missing Persons Act, since they were signed by an officer acting under the delegation of authority from The Secretary of the Army.

1

b. That retroactive delegation of authority be obtained, for certain officers and civilian personnel of the Recovered Personnel Records Branch, to take action under the Missing Persons Act for the Secretary of the Army, for the period 8 February 1953 through 19 December 1957, in matters relating to personnel of the Philippine Army in the service of the Armed Forces of the United States. Reports made during that period to the Veterans Administration and the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission and signed by such personnel will no longer be of doubtful validity and will have the full effect of official determinations and/or redeterminations under the Missing Persons Act in accordance with the policies in force during that period.

c. The instructions of the Commanding Officer, USARCEN that the reporting of information from the records conform to the status determinations made under the Missing Persons Act be continued.

2

d. That the criteria contained in the Standing Operating Procedures of Recovered Personnel Records Branch for establishing military identity and service in the Philippine Army, USAFFE (including Recognized Guerrillas) be continued in force.

15
Copy to
28
1/10

e. That the procedures for the evaluation or reevaluation of affidavit evidence submitted as verification or proof of Philippine Army, USAFFE service or status be continued and where actual errors are found in a prior MPA determination, an official redetermination of status be made.

f. That the requirements be relaxed to permit the acceptance of the affidavits of co-soldiers even though their own military identity has not been established, if their statements are compatible with their own claims and other known facts in a case.

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078

g. Since the evidence to support a positive determination previously made under the Missing Persons Act will be lacking in many cases, the policy be expanded to suspend such cases and to afford the claimants or next of kin an opportunity to furnish new proof of service and casualty status required to support the previous determination made. This can be done by use of a form patterned after the MPRC Forms 1-648, 1-648a, 1-649, and 1-649a (Tabs Y-1,2,3,4, Annex 1). These forms were declared obsolete 25 January 1954. The Forms 1-648 and 648a were used in deceased cases and the Forms 1-649 and 1-649a were used in cases where the alleged soldier was still living. The use of such forms might secure the required evidence which is lacking to support the prior MPA determination.

h. That when sufficient evidence is not available in a file to support a prior positive MPA determination and an effort to obtain the necessary information from the individual concerned or his next of kin is unsuccessful, the case should be redetermined on its individual merits.

i. That no change be considered for determining Recognized Guerrilla service, since that was a separate function of the Guerrilla Affairs Division and there is no existing authority to amend the approved rosters by adding or deleting names. That is the only source from which it can be authoritatively determined that an individual was granted recognized guerrilla service.

j. That redeterminations ^{under H. NAA} be made in cases of civilian guerrillas who were previously determined to have status under the Missing Persons Act and recognition was subsequently revoked by reconstruction of the Guerrilla Affairs Division rosters.

k. That redeterminations ^{under H. MPA} be made in cases of civilian guerrillas previously determined to have status under the Missing Persons Act and their names

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 883078

were subsequently removed from revision rosters by Guerrilla Affairs Division and are now listed only on initial troop rosters. This action is necessary to correct the prior determination which is currently in error.

1. That corrective action be taken in any case where it is found that information has been furnished to a Government agency which is not in agreement with the latest official determination under the Missing Persons Act.

ANNEXES:

1. Background Information for Philippine Army VA Program
2. Background Information for Philippine Scout VA Program
3. Background Information for WCC Program
4. Draft of State Department Reply to Philippine Economic Mission Claim
5. AGRD - WCC SOP
6. MPRC - WCC SOP

ANTON IMHOF JR.
Management Analyst
RFR Branch

	<u>CONCURRENCE</u>	<u>NON-CONCURRENCE</u>	<u>SEE ATCHD COMMENT</u>
Chief, RFR Branch	()	()	()
Operations Officer, RFR Branch	()	()	()
DCOM, USARCEN	()	()	()

APPROVED:

C. J. BARRY JR
Colonel, AGC
Commanding

DECLASSIFIED
 Authority AWD 883078

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 88307B

4030
3500

2055
145

STAFF STUDY - DETERMINATIONS UNDER NPA

DECLASSIFIED
Authority AWD 88207P